Legal Counsel.



DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

101 South Fifth Street ^ Suite 2500 ^ Louisville, KY 40202

www.dinsmore.com

RECEIVED

JUL 1 1 2013

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Edward T. Depp 502-540-2347 tip.depp@dinsmore.com

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jeff Derouen
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

Re: Joint Application of Kenergy Corp.

and Big Rivers Electric Corporation

for Approval of Contract and for a

Declaratory Order

) Case No. 2013-00221

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed are an original and ten copies of Kenergy Corp. and Big Rivers Electric Corporation's: (1) Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information; (2) Response to the Office of the Attorney General's Initial Request for Information; (3) Response to the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.'s Initial Request for Information; (4) Petition for Confidential Treatment; and (5) Motion for Deviation in the above-referenced matter.

July 11, 2013

I certify that on this date a copy of these documents has been served on all persons on the attached service list by hand-delivery or by Federal Express.

Sincerely,

Edward T. Depp

ETD/lb Enclosures

cc:

Mark A. Bailey Billie J. Richert

Service List PSC Case No. 2013-00221

Jennifer B. Hans Lawrence W. Cook Dennis G. Howard, II Assistant Attorneys General 1024 Capital Center Dr. Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Kurt J. Boehm, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh St., Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Bernard F. Lovely, Jr. Bowles Rice LLP Suite 1700 333 West Vine Street Lexington, KY 40507

Michael Early Corporate Energy Director Century Aluminum 1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1750 Portland, Oregon 97201

Robert A. Weishaar, Jr. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 777 N. Capitol St., NE Suite 401 Washington, DC 20002-4292

G. Kelly Nuckols President and CEO Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation 2900 Irvin Cobb Drive P.O. Box 4030 Paducah, KY 42002-4030 Melissa D. Yates Denton & Keuler, LLP 555 Jefferson Street Suite 301 Paducah, KY 42001

Burns Mercer Meade County RECC 1351 Hwy. 79 P.O. Box 489 Brandenburg, Kentucky 40108

Thomas C. Brite, Esq. Brite & Hopkins, PLLC 83 Ballpark Road Hardinsburg, KY 40143

Gregory Starheim
President & CEO
Kenergy Corp.
3111 Fairview Drive
P.O. Box 1389
Owensboro, KY 42302-1389

J. Christopher Hopgood, Esq. 318 Second Street Henderson, Kentucky 42420

Joe Childers
Joe F. Childers & Associates
300 Lexington Building
201 West Short Street
Lexington, Kentucky 40507

Shannon Fisk Senior Attorney Earthjustice 1617 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1675 Philadelphia, PA 19103

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

JOINT APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP. AND BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS AND FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER CASE NO. 2013-00221

VERIFICATION

I, Robert W. Berry, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the data responses filed with this Verification, and that those data responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Robert W. Berry

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Robert W. Berry on this the $\underline{\mathscr{S}}$ day of July, 2013.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires____

Public, Kentucky State-At-Large mmission Expires: July 3, 2014

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

JOINT APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP. AND BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS AND FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER CASE NO. 2013-00221

VERIFICATION

I, Billie J. Richert, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the data responses filed with this Verification, and that those data responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Billie J. Richert

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Billie J. Richert on this the day of July, 2013.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires 1-12-17

Paula Mitchell

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

JOINT APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP. AND BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS AND FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER CASE NO. 2013-00221

VERIFICATION

I, Gregory J. Starheim, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the data responses filed with this Verification, and that those data responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Gregory J. Starheim

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Gregory J. Starheim on this the 240 day of July, 2013.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires 5-24-15

ORIGINAL

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

JOINT APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP.)	
AND BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION)	C N- 2012 00221
FOR APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS AND)	Case No. 2013-00221
FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER)	

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

FILED: July 11, 2013

ORIGINAL

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 1)	Ref	er to page 13 of the application. Beginning at line 1, Big Rivers states that
2	"[p]art of ti	his prod	cess also includes the approvals Big Rivers must obtain to be authorized by
3	its creditors	s to ente	er into a transaction with Kenergy for Century Kentucky."
4		a.	Provide the names of the creditors from whom Big Rivers must obtain
5			approval and the status of those approvals.
6		b.	In the event that any of the creditors impose conditions or restrictions on
7			Big Rivers in return for granting the needed authorizations, provide
8			copies of all written documents referencing the conditions or
9			restrictions.
10			
11	Response)		
12		a.	The creditors of Big Rivers from which approval must be obtained in
13			connection with the proposed transaction are the United States Department
14			of Agriculture Rural Utilities Service ("RUS"), the National Rural
15			Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation ("CFC") and CoBank, ACB
16			("CoBank"). Under its loan contract with RUS, Big Rivers must notify
17			RUS in writing of the proposed transaction, including a reasonably
18			detailed description of the transaction and a statement that the transaction

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

is covered by the loan contract, and must provide RUS drafts of the documents under which the transaction is to be effected and certified copies of board resolutions from Big Rivers and Kenergy authorizing the transaction. If RUS within 60 days notifies Big Rivers in writing that RUS objects to the transaction, then Big Rivers cannot complete the transaction without RUS approval. In the past, RUS typically has required all or nearly all of those 60 days to complete its review of the transaction under review. Big Rivers is pursuing RUS approval and gave the required notice to RUS on June 12, 2013.

Big Rivers currently has two loan agreements with CFC. The first, a term loan agreement, does not require Big Rivers to obtain the approval of CFC for Big Rivers to enter into the proposed transaction. The second, a revolving credit agreement, does not expressly require Big Rivers to obtain the approval of CFC but under the terms of the existing agreement

of CFC for Big Rivers to enter into the proposed transaction. The second, a revolving credit agreement, does not expressly require Big Rivers to obtain the approval of CFC but under the terms of the existing agreement, an event of default will occur upon the termination of the agreement between Big Rivers and Kenergy relating to Kenergy's wholesale service agreement relating to Century. As a result, Big Rivers and CFC have negotiated an amended and restated revolving credit agreement to, among

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

other things, resolve the event of default issue under the existing revolving credit agreement and make clear that termination of the proposed Arrangement Agreement will not result in an event of default under the proposed amended and restated revolving credit agreement. The amended and restated revolving credit agreement is pending approval of the commission.

Big Rivers has a term loan agreement with CoBank. Under this agreement Big Rivers covenants not to consent to any modification, supplement or waiver of any of the provisions of its Member Wholesale Power Contracts or Material Direct Service Contracts (as defined in the agreement), if the effect thereof, either individually or in the aggregate, would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the term loan agreement). Big Rivers has obtained from CoBank its waiver, dated May 30, 2013 ("Waiver"), in which CoBank waives any default or event of default under the term loan agreement to the extent that the proposed Arrangement Agreement could be construed to be an amendment, supplement or waiver of the current agreements relating to Century. In addition, similar to the CFC existing revolving

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1			credit agreement, a termination of the proposed Arrangement Agreement
2			would be an event of default under the CoBank term loan agreement. As a
3			result, in the Waiver, CoBank waives as a default or an event of default
4			under the CoBank term loan agreement any termination of one or more of
5			the smelter retail service contracts (and, consequently, the corresponding
6			Material Direct Serve Contract) relating to service to an aluminum smelter
7			currently operated by Century or Rio Tinto Alcan entered into after the
8			date of the Waiver provided that such termination will not cause a
9			Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the CoBank term loan agreement).
10			The Waiver would apply to termination of the proposed Arrangement
11			Agreement.
12		b.	Big Rivers acknowledges this request, and states that there are none as of
13	9		the date of filing these responses.
14			
15			
16	Witness)	Billi	e J. Richert
17			

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 2)	Refer to page 10 of 49 of the Direct Testimony of Robert W. Berry ("Berry
2	Testimony")	. Starting at line 6, Mr. Berry states that Big Rivers has applied to the Midwest
3	Independent	t Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("M1SO") for the establishment of a new
4	commercial	pricing "node," the "Hawesville Node". State whether this approval has been
5	received. If	no, state when a decision by MISO is expected.
6		
7	Response)	Yes, MISO has approved the Attachment B that Big Rivers filed with MISO for
8	the June 1, 2	013 MISO Commercial Model update with the effective date of August 20, 2013.
9		
10		
11	Witness)	Robert W. Berry
12		

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1	Item 3)	Ref	er to Exhibit 4 of the application, the Electric Service Agreement.
2		a.	Refer to page 24. Section 8.1, Metering Facilities, states that "Kenergy
3			will provide or cause to be provided metering facilities at the Delivery
4			Point that measure Hourly kW, kWh, kilovars, kilovar-hours and
5			voltage-hours." State whether the metering facilities are already in
6			place as a result of Kenergy providing service to Century under the 2009
7			Retail Electric Service Agreement ("2009 Retail Agreement"). If no,
8			explain why the metering facilities are not currently necessary and
9	,		provide the estimated cost of installing and maintaining the metering
10			facilities.
11		b.	Refer to page 25. Section 9.2, Facilities Provided by Kenergy, states that
12			"Kenergy shall install and maintain, or shall cause to be installed and
13			maintained, any and all interconnection equipment, metering, or
14			substation equipment, and other equipment, including switching and
15			protective equipment but excluding the Capacitor Additions and the
16			Protective Relays, necessary to deliver Energy to Century at the Delivery
17			Point." State whether this equipment is already in place as a result of
18			Kenergy providing service to Century under the 2009 Retail Agreement.

Case No. 2013-00221
Response to PSC 1-3
Witnesses: Robert W. Berry and
Gregory J. Starheim
Page 1 of 2

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

I		If no, explain why the equipment is not currently necessary and provide
2		the estimated cost of installing and maintaining the equipment.
3		
4	Response)	
5		a. Yes, the appropriate metering facilities are already in place and are the
6		same metering facilities that are currently being used to serve Century
7		under the 2009 Retail Agreement.
8		b. Yes, the appropriate equipment is already in place, and it is the same
9		equipment that is currently being used to serve Century under the 2009
10		Retail Agreement.
11		
12	Witnesses)	Robert W. Berry and
13		Gregory J. Starheim
14		

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 4)	Refer to Exhibit 12 of the application, the Security and Lockbox Agreement.
2	Paragraph 2	7 on page 5 relates to fees and charges associated with the Lockbox Account.
3	Provide the	estimated annual fees for the Lockbox Account and state whether Big Rivers will
4	be reimburs	ed by Century for the fees.
5		
6	Response)	Based on similar transactions with the Depository Bank in the past, Big Rivers
7	estimates tha	at the annual fees for the Lockbox Account will be \$420.00. Under paragraph 7 of
8	the Security	and Lockbox Agreement, the Depository Bank shall submit a monthly statement
9	to Big Rivers	s setting forth these fees. These fees will then be deducted from the Lockbox
10	Account purs	suant to that paragraph and paragraph 4(b)(i). Big Rivers will be entitled to
11	reimburseme	ent of these fees by Kenergy under Section 4.5.10 of the Arrangement Agreement,
12	and Kenergy	will in turn be entitled to reimbursement in a like amount from Century under
13	Section 4.6.1	1 of the Electric Service Agreement.
14		
15		
16	Witness)	Robert W. Berry
17		

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 5)	Refer to page 10 of the application, line 20. Should the "10,000,000 kW of
2	demand" be	corrected to "10,000 kW of demand"?
3		
4	Response)	Yes. A similar typographical error was acknowledged and corrected in a letter
5	dated June 1	3, 2013, from James M. Miller to Jeff Derouen, the Commission's Executive
6	Director.	
7		
8		
9	Witness)	Robert W. Berry
10		
11		

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 6)	Refer to page 2, lines 3-5, of Big Rivers' compliance filing made on June 26,
2	2013. What	is the amount of "Base Load" that has been calculated by MISO?
3		
4	Response)	At the date of this response, MISO has not completed the analysis to confirm
5	the Base Loa	ad amount. MISO continues to work with the appropriate transmission owners,
6	Big Rivers a	nd Century's consultant (Siemens) to determine the Base Load amount.
7		
8		
9	Witness)	Robert W. Berry
10		*

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 7) The application, page 10, lines 13-15, requests Commission approval of the
2	Century Transaction pursuant to KRS 278.160(1) and 807 KAR 5:011, Section 13.
3	However, both the Electric Service Agreement, Article 18.2, and the Arrangement
4	Agreement, Article 18.2, provide that, "the Parties hereby agree that the courts of the
5	Commonwealth of Kentucky will have exclusive jurisdiction over any and all disputes."
6	Explain in detail why a contract between a Commission- regulated utility and a customer
7	that sets forth rates and terms and conditions of service is not within the exclusive
8	jurisdiction of the Commission.
9	
10	Response) The Electric Service Agreement and the Arrangement Agreement are subject to
11	the exclusive jurisdiction of the Commission to the extent that the Commission has jurisdiction
12	over those agreements pursuant to Kentucky law. Section 14.1.2 of each of those agreements
13	provides that "Nothing in this Agreement shall limit or expand the jurisdiction of the KPSC
14	over Kenergy, Big Rivers or the rates, terms and conditions of the provision of Electric
15	Services to Century." Section 18.2 of each of those agreements provides that "Nothing in
16	Section 16.2 or this Section 18.2 prohibits a Party from referring to FERC or any other
17	Governmental Authority any matter properly within its jurisdiction." Governmental Authority
18	is defined in those agreements to include the Commission.

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1		Article 16 and Section 18.2, respectively, of each of those agreements are
2	intended to	set forth the order of precedence of dispute resolution processes to be used for
3	disputes tha	t are not subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Commission or any other
4	Government	tal Authority. Under the agreements, the parties first must attempt to resolve those
5	types of disp	outes through an informal process pursuant to Section 16.1. If the dispute is not
6	resolved pur	suant to that process, then the parties may commence arbitration of the dispute in
7	accordance	with Section 16.2 if the amount in controversy meets the \$100,000 threshold set
8	forth in that	section. If not, the parties have recourse to the state or federal courts specified in
9	Section 18.2	. The parties also have recourse to those courts under Section 16.2.7 to appeal or
10	request rehea	aring of a dispute arbitrated under Section 16.2, as well as under Section 16.2.9 to
11	seek interim	, provisional or conservatory measures as set forth in that section.
12		
13		
14	Witness)	Robert W. Berry
15		Counsel
16		

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1	Item 8) The application, page 11, lines 8-9, requests Commission approval of the
2	Alternate Service Agreement pursuant to KRS 278.160(1) and 807 KAR 5:011, Section 13.
3	However, the Alternate Service Agreement, Addendum 5.40, titled "Jurisdiction and Venue,"
4	provides that "[v]enue of any action, legal or equitable, having as its basis the enforcement
5	or interpretation of this contract, shall be Henderson County, Kentucky." Explain in detail
6	why a contract between a Commission-regulated utility and a customer that sets forth rates
7	and terms and conditions of service is not within the exclusive jurisdiction of the
8	Commission.
9	
10	Response) The Alternate Service Agreement is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the
11	Commission to the extent that the Commission has jurisdiction over that agreement pursuant to
12	Kentucky law. This is also found under Section 5.40 of the agreement. That section provides
13	that "service to the Consumer is subject to the lawful order of the Kentucky Public Service
14	Commission. All respective rights and obligations of the parties shall be governed by the laws
15	of the State of Kentucky." Section 5.40 further provides that "[v]enue of any action, legal or
16	equitable, having as its basis the enforcement or interpretation of this contract, shall be
17	Henderson County, Kentucky." This provision is intended to refer only to actions relating to
18	the agreement that are not subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Commission.

Case No. 2013-00221 Response to PSC 1-8 Witnesses: Robert W. Berry and Counsel Page 1 of 2

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1		
2	Witnesses)	Robert W. Berry and
3		Counsel
4		

Case No. 2013-00221 Response to PSC 1-8 Witnesses: Robert W. Berry and

Counsel Page 2 of 2

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	Item 9)	Refer to page 39, lines 16-20, of the Berry Testimony. Identify the exact
2	locations of	each of the acknowledgements referenced in the Berry Testimony.
3		a. Explain what is meant by the term "tariff service" that Century is
4		acknowledging that it would not be entitled to receive from Kenergy if a
5		Century Transaction document is terminated.
6		b. Has Kenergy entered into any agreement, or does Kenergy intend to
7		enter into any agreement, that does or will affect Kenergy's rights and
8		obligations to provide retail electric service to Century pursuant to KRS
9		278.016 to 278.018?
10		
11	Response)	The Berry Testimony at lines 16-20 provides that "acknowledgements
12	regarding Bi	g Rivers' absence of an obligation to serve Century from Big Rivers' own
13	generation and purchase power resources survives as does Century's acknowledgement that it	
14	is not entitled	to tariff service from Kenergy." The former acknowledgement is set forth in
15	Section 3.7 o	f the Direct Agreement, Section 14.4 of the Arrangement Agreement and Section
16	14.5 of the E	lectric Service Agreement. The latter acknowledgement is set forth in Section
17	10.4 of the E	lectric Service Agreement.

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1		a.	"Tariff service" relates to the right of Century to receive Electric Services
2			under an existing tariff of Kenergy as opposed to entry into a separately
3			negotiated contract for the provision of Electric Services. The
4			acknowledgement of Century is intended to provide that if the proposed
5			contractual arrangements are terminated, Kenergy and Century would
6			need to negotiate a new agreement for the provision of Electric Services
7			for any smelting load due to the unique challenges associated with serving
8			a smelter (e.g., credit risk, etc.). The purpose of the Alternate Service
9			Agreement is to provide for advance Commission approval of service to a
10			non-smelting load of Century if the proposed Electric Service Agreement
11			terminates.
12		b.	No.
13			
14	Witnesses)	Rob	pert W. Berry,
15		Gre	gory J. Starheim, and
16		Cou	nsel
17			

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1	Item 10) Refer to Exhibit 4 of the application, page 35, Section 14.4, titled "Post
2	Termination Obligation." In the absence of a contractual obligation for Kenergy to serve
3	Century, will Kenergy still have a legal obligation to serve Century? If yes, explain the basis
4	for that legal obligation and explain why Century would not have a right to receive service
5	from Kenergy at rates based on Kenergy's average cost of electric power.
6	
7	Response) Yes, but the terms and conditions by which Century would be entitled to
8	receive service would need to be addressed prior to the effective date of "absence of a
9	contractual obligation," as Century's termination of the Retail Electric Service Agreement
10	approved in Case No. 2007-00455 leaves Kenergy with no tariff that would be applicable to
11	Century.
12	As a general matter, Kenergy's articles of incorporation obligate it to provide
13	service to "its members at the lowest cost consistent with sound economy and good
14	management" in fifteen counties, including Hancock County where Century's Hawesville
15	smelter is located. KRS 278.030(1-3) further imposes the following statutory obligations:
16 17	. (1) Every utility may demand, collect and receive fair, just and reasonable rates for the services rendered or to be rendered by it to any person.
18 19	. (2) Every utility shall furnish adequate, efficient and reasonable service, and may establish reasonable rules governing the conduct of its business and the

Case No. 2013-00221 Response to PSC 1-10 Witnesses: Gregory J. Starheim and Counsel Page 1 of 4

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

1	conditions under which it shall be required to render service.
2 3 4 5 6	(3) Every utility may employ in the conduct of its business suitable and reasonable classifications of its service, patrons and rates. The classifications may, in any proper case, take into account the nature of the use, the quality used, the quantity used, the time when used, the purpose for which used, and any other reasonable consideration.
7	Those general statutory obligations are further recognized in the RUS area
8	coverage requirements set forth in 7 C.F.R. § 1710.103, which provides in relevant part:
9 10 11	(a) Borrowers shall make a diligent effort to extend electric service to all unserved persons within their service area who:
12 13 14 15	(1) Desire electric service; and(2) Meet all reasonable requirements established by the borrower as a condition of service.
16	In the context of the Century load, Kenergy emphasizes the statutory language
17	that permits it to "establish reasonable rules governing the conduct of its business and the
18	conditions under which it shall be required to render service." KRS 278.030(2). Kenergy also
19	emphasizes the statutory right of a utility to "employ in the conduct of its business suitable and
20	reasonable classifications of its service, patrons and rates." KRS 278.030(3). "The
21	classifications may, in any proper case, take into account the nature of the use, the quality used,
22	the quantity used, the time when used, the purpose for which used, and any other reasonable
23	consideration." Id.

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1	As an initial matter, Kenergy notes that the Century Transaction was negotiated
2	pursuant to an understanding that Century would not seek electric service pursuant to terms
3	other than what are memorialized in the application and the associated agreements. Under the
4	scenario posed by this request, however, Kenergy notes that the unusually high amount of
5	electricity used by Century, at an unusually high load factor, and the unique history of service
6	to Century compel the conclusion that any service to Century other than as proposed in this
7	application would need to be addressed in a subsequent proceeding designed to address the
8	"reasonable classifications" that Kenergy is permitted by law to employ in serving its
9	customers.
10	Although the scenario posed in this data request has not been the focus of the
11	parties' collaborative efforts, Kenergy believes that it is likely that the provision of service to
12	Century in the absence of contractual arrangements (such as proposed in this case) would
13	unreasonably shift the unique risks of serving Century to other ratepayers if Kenergy were
14	required to serve Century at the average cost of service.
15	For this reason, Kenergy and Century have agreed that, absent circumstances
16	were the Alternate Service Agreement is applicable, a new contractual arrangement must be
17	entered into if the proposed contracts are terminated.

18

Case No. 2013-00221 Response to PSC 1-10 Witnesses: Gregory J. Starheim and Counsel Page 3 of 4

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated July 2, 2013

July 11, 2013

1 Witnesses) Gregory J. Starheim and

2 Counsel

Case No. 2013-00221 Response to PSC 1-10 Witnesses: Gregory J. Starheim and Counsel Page 4 of 4